arXiv:1004.0997v2 [hep-ph] 29 Apr 2011

TRUE NEUTRALITY AS A NEW TYPE OF FLAVOUR

Rasulkhozha S. Sharafiddinov

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences,
Tashkent, 100214 Ulugbek, Uzbekistan

A classification of leptonic currents with respect to C-operation requires the separation of elementary particles into the two classes of vector C-even and axial-vector C-odd character. Their nature has been created so that to each type of lepton corresponds a kind of the neutrino. Such pairs are united in families of a different C-parity. Unlike the neutrino of a vector type, any C-noninvariant Dirac neutrino must have his Majorana neutrino. They constitute the purely neutrino families. We discuss the nature of a corresponding mechanism responsible for the availability in all types of axial-vector particles of a kind of flavour which distinguishes each of them from others by a true charge characterized by a quantum number conserved at the interactions between C-odd fermion and field of emission of gauge bosons. This regularity expresses the unidenticality of truly neutral neutrino and antineutrino. Thereby, such a true flavour together with the earlier known lepton flavour predicts the existence of leptonic strings and their birth in single and double leptonless beta decays as a consequence of the unity of symmetry laws.

The nature itself unites all elementary particles in families of a different C-invariance [1]. To one of them apply the earlier known C-invariant leptons ($l^V \neq \bar{l}^V$) and the Dirac neutrinos ($\nu_l^V \neq \bar{\nu}_l^V$) with vector V_l currents. Among the fermions of another family one can meet the C-odd Majorana ($\nu_M^A = \bar{\nu}_M^A$) neutrinos [2]. They have their C-noninvariant Dirac ($\nu_l^A = \bar{\nu}_l^A$) neutrinos [3] corresponding in the united families to a kind of truly neutral leptons ($l^A = \bar{l}^A$) of axial-vector A_l currents. Such a separation of fermions into the two classes of vector (V) and axial-vector (A) types leads us to a unified principle [1] that

$$l^V = e^V, \mu^V, \tau^V, ... \rightarrow \nu_l^V = \nu_e^V, \nu_\mu^V, \nu_\tau^V, ..., \tag{1}$$

$$l^A = e^A, \mu^A, \tau^A, ... \rightarrow \nu_l^A = \nu_e^A, \nu_\mu^A, \nu_\tau^A, ..., \tag{2}$$

$$\nu_l^A = \nu_e^A, \nu_\mu^A, \nu_\tau^A, ... \rightarrow \nu_M^A = \nu_1^A, \nu_2^A, \nu_3^A, ... \tag{3}$$

The feature of a presentation (1) is the idea that each type of vector lepton testifies in favour of the existence of a kind of C-invariant neutrino. These pairs can constitute the leptonic families of a vector nature. We must, therefore, define their family structure in the united form [4]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e^V \\ e^V \end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu_e^V, \;\; e^V)_R, \;\; \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu^V \\ \mu^V \end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu_\mu^V, \;\; \mu^V)_R, \;\; \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau^V \\ \tau^V \end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu_\tau^V, \;\; \tau^V)_R, ..., \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_e^V \\ \bar{e}^V \end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}_e^V, \;\; \bar{e}^V)_L, \;\; \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_\mu^V \\ \bar{\mu}^V \end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}_\mu^V, \;\; \bar{\mu}^V)_L, \;\; \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_\tau^V \\ \bar{\tau}^V \end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}_\tau^V, \;\; \bar{\tau}^V)_L, ... \tag{5}$$

Any family possesses an individual flavour [5, 6]. This gives the right to characterize each particle by the three ($l^V = e^V$, μ^V, τ^V) lepton flavours:

$$L_{l^V} = \begin{cases} +1 & for \quad l_L^V, \;\; l_R^V, \;\; \nu_{lL}^V, \;\; \nu_{lR}^V, \\ -1 & for \quad \bar{l}_R^V, \;\; \bar{l}_L^V, \;\; \bar{\nu}_{lR}^V, \;\; \bar{\nu}_{lL}^V, \\ \;\; 0 & for \quad remaining \;\; particles. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

In the framework of a conservation law of full lepton number

$$L_{e^V} + L_{\mu^V} + L_{\tau^V} = const \tag{7}$$

or of all forms of lepton flavours

$$L_{l^V} = const, \tag{8}$$

the interaction with virtual photon of a vector nature (γ^V) of the same types from the left (right)-handed individual paraparticles [4]

$$l^V_{l\bar{l}} = (l^V_L, \bar{l}^V_R), \quad r^V_{l\bar{l}} = (l^V_R, \bar{l}^V_L), \tag{9}$$

$$l^V_{\nu_l\bar{\nu}_l} = (\nu^V_{lL}, \bar{\nu}^V_{lR}), \quad r^V_{\nu_l\bar{\nu}_l} = (\nu^V_{lR}, \bar{\nu}^V_{lL}), \tag{10}$$

for example, in the elastic processes on a nucleus Coulomb (Z) charge

$$l^V_{L,R}(\bar{l}^V_{R,L}) + A(Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma^V} l^V(\bar{l}^V) + A(Z), \tag{11}$$

$$\nu^V_{lL,R}(\bar{\nu}^V_{lR,L}) + A(Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma^V} \nu^V_l(\bar{\nu}^V_l) + A(Z), \tag{12}$$

including only the C-invariant leptons or their neutrinos is fully possible. Any difermion here can also explain the C-even electric charge conservation.

The presentation (2) expresses the regularity that each of axial-vector leptons has his truly neutral neutrino. They constitute the united families of C-noninvariant fermions of a Dirac nature. Thereby, such pairs establish their family structure:

$$\begin{pmatrix}\nu^A_e\\ e^A\end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu^A_e, \ \ e^A)_R, \ \ \begin{pmatrix}\nu^A_\mu\\ \mu^A\end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu^A_\mu, \ \ \mu^A)_R, \ \ \begin{pmatrix}\nu^A_\tau\\ \tau^A\end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu^A_\tau, \ \ \tau^A)_R, ..., \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\nu}^A_e\\ \bar{e}^A\end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}^A_e, \ \ \bar{e}^A)_L, \ \ \begin{pmatrix}\bar{\nu}^A_\mu\\ \bar{\mu}^A\end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}^A_\mu, \ \ \bar{\mu}^A)_L, \ \ \begin{pmatrix}\bar{\nu}^A_\tau\\ \bar{\tau}^A\end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}^A_\tau, \ \ \bar{\tau}^A)_L, ... \tag{14}$$

A highly characteristic feature of this picture is the fact that a formation of any of individual parafermions

$$l^A_{l\bar{l}} = (l^A_L, \bar{l}^A_R), \quad r^A_{l\bar{l}} = (l^A_R, \bar{l}^A_L), \tag{15}$$

$$l^A_{\nu_l\bar{\nu}_l} = (\nu^A_{lL}, \bar{\nu}^A_{lR}), \quad r^A_{\nu_l\bar{\nu}_l} = (\nu^A_{lR}, \bar{\nu}^A_{lL}) \tag{16}$$

is responsible for the legality of C-noninvariant electric charge [7] conservation, for example, in the processes on nuclear targets

$$l^A_{L,R}(\bar{l}^A_{R,L}) + A(Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma^A} l^A(\bar{l}^A) + A(Z), \tag{17}$$

$$\nu^A_{lL,R}(\bar{\nu}^A_{lR,L}) + A(Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma^A} \nu^A_l(\bar{\nu}^A_l) + A(Z), \tag{18}$$

where the incoming fluxes consist only of C-odd leptons or of their Dirac neutrinos. They interact with virtual axial-vector photons (γ^A), the existence of which was postulated over thirty years ago [8].

Another important consequence implied from (3) is that to each type of C-noninvariant Dirac neutrino corresponds a kind of truly neutral Majorana neutrino. The similar pairs constitute the purely neutrino families of axial-vector fermions of a different nature. This gives the possibility to define their family structure in the following form [3]:

$$\begin{pmatrix}\nu_e^A\\ \nu_1^A\end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu_e^A, \quad \nu_1^A)_R, \quad \begin{pmatrix}\nu_\mu^A\\ \nu_2^A\end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu_\mu^A, \quad \nu_2^A)_R, \quad \begin{pmatrix}\nu_\tau^A\\ \nu_3^A\end{pmatrix}_L, (\nu_\tau^A, \quad \nu_3^A)_R, ..., \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\nu}_e^A\\ \bar{\nu}_1^A\end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}_e^A, \quad \bar{\nu}_1^A)_L, \quad \begin{pmatrix}\bar{\nu}_\mu^A\\ \bar{\nu}_2^A\end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}_\mu^A, \quad \bar{\nu}_2^A)_L, \quad \begin{pmatrix}\bar{\nu}_\tau^A\\ \bar{\nu}_3^A\end{pmatrix}_R, (\bar{\nu}_\tau^A, \quad \bar{\nu}_3^A)_L, ... \tag{20}$$

Such a picture is based logically on the idea of a coexistence law of truly neutral neutrinos of Dirac and Majorana types [3]. Thereby, it predicts the conservation of C-noninvariant axial-vector electric charge [7], for example, in the elastic scattering on a spinless nucleus

$$\nu^A_{ML,R}(\bar{\nu}^A_{MR,L}) + A(Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma^A} \nu^A_M(\bar{\nu}^A_M) + A(Z) \tag{21}$$

as a unification of left (right)-handed C-odd fermions in individual difermions

$$l^A_{\nu_M\bar{\nu}_M} = (\nu^A_{ML}, \bar{\nu}^A_{MR}), \quad r^A_{\nu_M\bar{\nu}_M} = (\nu^A_{MR}, \bar{\nu}^A_{ML}). \tag{22}$$

On the other hand, as was noted in the work [1], any of parafermions (9) and (10) can be formed only in the case where flavour symmetry establishes the interaction P-symmetrical picture, although P-symmetry of fermion is basically violated at the expense of its self inertial mass [3]. If we here take into account that as well as in systems (9) and (10), the existence of a hard P-symmetrical connection between the two particles of each of paraparticles (15), (16) and (22) is by no means excluded naturally [7], then there arises a question of whether the hypothesis about unidenticality of truly neutral neutrino and antineutrino is not strictly nonverisimilar even at the violation of CPT-symmetry of a CP-even axial-vector fermion itself [1].

Our purpose in a given work is to formulate a principle, according to which, any of families (13), (14), (19) and (20) distinguishes from others by a true charge characterized by a quantum number conserved in the processes with axial-vector leptons and neutrinos analogously to the fact that lepton flavours or full lepton number are conserved at the interactions between vector Dirac fermion and field of emission of gauge bosons. The true charges of all

C-antisymmetrical leptons for both types of C-noninvariant neutrinos and antineutrinos are different and that, consequently, lead to a kind of truly strict selection rules.

It is well known that a truly neutrality of neutrinos of a Majorana nature implies the absence in such fermions of a vector C-even electric charge and of each lepton flavour. But, as we have already mentioned above, unlike the earlier picture of the united Coulomb interactions with leptonic currents, their classification with respect to C-operation assumed the existence for all C-noninvariant types of leptons and neutrinos of a kind of an axial-vector C-odd electric charge [7].

It is important that by this reason in the interaction process with the same nucleus of the two types of massive Dirac neutrinos of the vector V and axial-vector A nature

$$\nu(\nu_{lL,R}^{V},\nu_{lL,R}^{A})+A(Z)\xrightarrow{\gamma^{V},\gamma^{A}}\nu(\nu_{l}^{V},\nu_{l}^{A})+A(Z), \tag{23}$$

$$\bar{\nu}(\bar{\nu}_{lR,L}^{V},\bar{\nu}_{lR,L}^{A})+A(Z)\xrightarrow{\gamma^{V},\gamma^{A}}\bar{\nu}(\bar{\nu}_{l}^{V},\bar{\nu}_{l}^{A})+A(Z) \tag{24}$$

there arise not only parafermions (10) and (16) but also the united systems of particles of a different C-invariance

$$l_{\nu_{l}\bar{\nu}_{l}}^{V,A}=(\nu_{lL}^{V},\bar{\nu}_{lR}^{A}),\quad r_{\nu_{l}\bar{\nu}_{l}}^{V,A}=(\nu_{lR}^{V},\bar{\nu}_{lL}^{A}), \tag{25}$$

$$l_{\nu_{l}\bar{\nu}_{l}}^{A,V}=(\nu_{lL}^{A},\bar{\nu}_{lR}^{V}),\quad r_{\nu_{l}\bar{\nu}_{l}}^{A,V}=(\nu_{lR}^{A},\bar{\nu}_{lL}^{V}). \tag{26}$$

The existence of any of paraneutrinos (25) and (26) is, as was mentioned in the work [1], incompatible with parity concerning the C and P as well as with lepton number conservation law.

These violations would seem to say that either among the incoming particles the same C-even or C-odd neutrinos are present or the hypothesis about unification of fermions in families of a different C-parity is not valid. On the other hand, as follows from simple reasoning, each type of an asymmetry [9] arising at the expense of interference between the interactions of symmetrical and antisymmetrical character can be explained by a formation of a unified system of the two particles of the vector and axial-vector nature [10]. At the same time, C or P nonconsevation at the interaction of neutrinos of the most diverse currents with the same field of emission is practically not excluded [11]. At our sight, this becomes possible owing to the fact that among the investigated particles one can find sometimes as well as other types from the same fermions.

But here we have learned that an appearance in the nucleus charge field of any of paraparticles (25) and (26) explains once again the absence for all types of truly neutral leptons and neutrinos of each of the earlier known lepton flavours (L_{l^V}) and the availability in them of a kind of flavour (T_{l^A}) which can be called a true charge. Then it is possible, for example, the interaction of such CP-invariant parafermions as (25) and (26) with field of emission is not forbidden by a conservation law

$$L_{\nu_l^V} + T_{\bar{\nu}_l^A} = const, \quad T_{\nu_l^A} + L_{\bar{\nu}_l^V} = const. \tag{27}$$

According to these selection rules, any of difermions (25) and (26) may serve as the strong argument in favour of quantum numbers

$$T_{\nu_l^A} = +1, \quad T_{\bar{\nu}_l^A} = -1. \tag{28}$$

Furthermore, if it turns out that each of paraneutrinos (10) and (22) there exists only in the presence of a unified P-symmetrical force between its two structural particles as a consequence of a kind of the regularity of nature of elastic scattering of vector Dirac and axial-vector Majorana neutrinos in the field of the same nucleus

$$\nu(\nu_{lL,R}^V, \nu_{ML,R}^A) + A(Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma^V, \gamma^A} \nu(\nu_l^V, \nu_M^A) + A(Z), \tag{29}$$

$$\bar{\nu}(\bar{\nu}_{lR,L}^V, \bar{\nu}_{MR,L}^A) + A(Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma^V, \gamma^A} \bar{\nu}(\bar{\nu}_l^V, \bar{\nu}_M^A) + A(Z), \tag{30}$$

from the point of view of any type of flavour L_{l^V} or T_{l^A}, it should be expected that a formation of parafermions

$$l_{\nu_l \bar{\nu}_M}^{V,A} = (\nu_{lL}^V, \bar{\nu}_{MR}^A), \quad r_{\nu_l \bar{\nu}_M}^{V,A} = (\nu_{lR}^V, \bar{\nu}_{ML}^A), \tag{31}$$

$$l_{\nu_M \bar{\nu}_l}^{A,V} = (\nu_{ML}^A, \bar{\nu}_{lR}^V), \quad r_{\nu_M \bar{\nu}_l}^{A,V} = (\nu_{MR}^A, \bar{\nu}_{lL}^V) \tag{32}$$

from the neutrinos of a different C-invariance corresponds in the united processes (29) and (30) to the existence of additive selection rules

$$L_{\nu_l^V} + T_{\bar{\nu}_M^A} = const, \quad T_{\nu_M^A} + L_{\bar{\nu}_l^V} = const. \tag{33}$$

The latter together with the ideas of each of paraparticles (31) and (32) predicts the size of a true charge for a Majorana neutrino

$$T_{\nu_M^A} = +1, \quad T_{\bar{\nu}_M^A} = -1. \tag{34}$$

Therefore, It is relevant to emphasize the legality once again, for example, of interactions of the two types of axial-vector neutrinos of the Dirac and Majorana nature with the same field of emission [7]

$$\nu(\nu_{lL,R}^{A},\nu_{ML,R}^{A})+A(Z)\overset{\gamma^{A}}{\to}\nu(\nu_{l}^{A},\nu_{M}^{A})+A(Z), \tag{35}$$

$$\bar{\nu}(\bar{\nu}_{lR,L}^{A},\bar{\nu}_{MR,L}^{A})+A(Z)\overset{\gamma^{A}}{\to}\bar{\nu}(\bar{\nu}_{l}^{A},\bar{\nu}_{M}^{A})+A(Z), \tag{36}$$

which can exist only in the case where the appearance of difermions (16) and (22) as well as of C-invariant paraneutrinos of the same P-parity

$$l_{\nu_l\bar{\nu}_M}^{A}=(\nu_{lL}^{A},\bar{\nu}_{MR}^{A}),\quad r_{\nu_l\bar{\nu}_M}^{A}=(\nu_{lR}^{A},\bar{\nu}_{ML}^{A}), \tag{37}$$

$$l_{\nu_M\bar{\nu}_l}^{A}=(\nu_{ML}^{A},\bar{\nu}_{lR}^{A}),\quad r_{\nu_M\bar{\nu}_l}^{A}=(\nu_{MR}^{A},\bar{\nu}_{lL}^{A}) \tag{38}$$

from the C-odd fermions does not contradict the conditions

$$T_{\nu_l^A}+T_{\bar{\nu}_M^A}=const,\quad T_{\nu_M^A}+T_{\bar{\nu}_l^A}=const. \tag{39}$$

Finally, insofar as a question about quantum numbers T_{l^A} and $T_{\bar{l}^A}$, we will start from the elastic scattering of leptons ($l=e,\ \mu,\ \tau,...$) of a different C-parity on the same nucleus

$$l(l_{L,R}^{V},l_{L,R}^{A})+A(Z)\xrightarrow{\gamma^{V},\gamma^{A}}l(l^{V},l^{A})+A(Z), \tag{40}$$

$$\bar{l}(\bar{l}_{R,L}^{V},\bar{l}_{R,L}^{A})+A(Z)\xrightarrow{\gamma^{V},\gamma^{A}}\bar{l}(\bar{l}^{V},\bar{l}^{A})+A(Z), \tag{41}$$

originating only if unlike the individual dileptons (9) and (15), the united paraparticles

$$l_{l\bar{l}}^{V,A}=(l_{L}^{V},\bar{l}_{R}^{A}),\quad r_{l\bar{l}}^{V,A}=(l_{R}^{V},\bar{l}_{L}^{A}), \tag{42}$$

$$l_{l\bar{l}}^{A,V}=(l_{L}^{A},\bar{l}_{R}^{V}),\quad r_{l\bar{l}}^{A,V}=(l_{R}^{A},\bar{l}_{L}^{V}), \tag{43}$$

appear jointly with the selection rules

$$L_{l^V}+T_{\bar{l}^A}=const,\quad T_{l^A}+L_{\bar{l}^V}=const. \tag{44}$$

Comparing their with that constitute difermions (9) and (15), but having in view of (42) and (43), one can found from (44) that

$$T_{l^A}=+1,\quad T_{\bar{l}^A}=-1. \tag{45}$$

To verify the signs in sizes of (28), (34) and (45) one must apply to the processes with neutrinos of the most diverse types. A beautiful example is the muon decay of a vector nature

$$\mu^V_{L,R} \xrightarrow{\gamma^V} e^V_{L,R}\bar{\nu}^V_{eR,L}\nu^V_{\mu L,R}, \quad \bar{\mu}^V_{R,L} \xrightarrow{\gamma^V} \bar{e}^V_{R,L}\nu^V_{eL,R}\bar{\nu}^V_{\mu R,L}. \tag{46}$$

These transitions say in favour of the electric charge conservation

$$Q_{\mu^V} = Q_{e^V} + Q_{\bar{\nu}_e^V} + Q_{\nu_\mu^V}, \tag{47}$$

$$Q_{\bar{\mu}^V} = Q_{\bar{e}^V} + Q_{\nu_e^V} + Q_{\bar{\nu}_\mu^V}, \tag{48}$$

confirming the fact that conditions

$$\Delta L_{\mu^V} = L_{\mu^V} - L_{\nu_\mu^V} = L_{e^V} + L_{\bar{\nu}_e^V}, \tag{49}$$

$$\Delta L_{\bar{\mu}^V} = L_{\bar{\mu}^V} - L_{\bar{\nu}_\mu^V} = L_{\bar{e}^V} + L_{\nu_e^V} \tag{50}$$

correspond in (46) to a formation of vector difermions

$$l^V_{e\bar{\nu}_e} = (e^V_L, \bar{\nu}^V_{eR}), \quad r^V_{e\bar{\nu}_e} = (e^V_R, \bar{\nu}^V_{eL}), \tag{51}$$

$$l^V_{\bar{e}\nu_e} = (\bar{e}^V_R, \nu^V_{eL}), \quad r^V_{\bar{e}\nu_e} = (\bar{e}^V_L, \nu^V_{eR}). \tag{52}$$

To a similar implication one can lead starting from the μ^A-decay

$$\mu^A_{L,R} \xrightarrow{\gamma^A} e^A_{L,R}\bar{\nu}^A_{eR,L}\nu^A_{\mu L,R}, \quad \bar{\mu}^A_{R,L} \xrightarrow{\gamma^A} \bar{e}^A_{R,L}\nu^A_{eL,R}\bar{\nu}^A_{\mu R,L}. \tag{53}$$

Here the appearance of axial-vector parafermions

$$l^A_{e\bar{\nu}_e} = (e^A_L, \bar{\nu}^A_{eR}), \quad r^A_{e\bar{\nu}_e} = (e^A_R, \bar{\nu}^A_{eL}), \tag{54}$$

$$l^A_{\bar{e}\nu_e} = (\bar{e}^A_R, \nu^A_{eL}), \quad r^A_{\bar{e}\nu_e} = (\bar{e}^A_L, \nu^A_{eR}) \tag{55}$$

answers to conservation of both true number

$$\Delta T_{\mu^A} = T_{\mu^A} - T_{\nu_\mu^A} = T_{e^A} + T_{\bar{\nu}_e^A}, \tag{56}$$

$$\Delta T_{\bar{\mu}^A} = T_{\bar{\mu}^A} - T_{\bar{\nu}_\mu^A} = T_{\bar{e}^A} + T_{\nu_e^A}, \tag{57}$$

and C-odd electric charge

$$Q_{\mu^A} = Q_{e^A} + Q_{\bar{\nu}_e^A} + Q_{\nu_\mu^A}, \tag{58}$$

$$Q_{\bar{\mu}^A} = Q_{\bar{e}^A} + Q_{\nu_e^A} + Q_{\bar{\nu}_\mu^A}. \tag{59}$$

Such a picture, however, loses the thought in decays

$$\mu^V_{L,R} \xrightarrow{\gamma^V,\gamma^A} e^A_{L,R}\bar{\nu}^A_{eR,L}\nu^V_{\mu L,R}, \quad \bar{\mu}^V_{R,L} \xrightarrow{\gamma^V,\gamma^A} \bar{e}^A_{R,L}\nu^A_{eL,R}\bar{\nu}^V_{\mu R,L}, \tag{60}$$

where the paraparticles (54) and (55) appear owing to the selection rules

$$\Delta L_{\mu^V} = L_{\mu^V} - L_{\nu^V_\mu} = T_{e^A} + T_{\bar{\nu}^A_e}, \tag{61}$$

$$\Delta L_{\bar{\mu}^V} = L_{\bar{\mu}^V} - L_{\bar{\nu}^V_\mu} = T_{\bar{e}^A} + T_{\nu^A_e}. \tag{62}$$

They satisfy the inequalities

$$Q_{\mu^V} \neq Q_{e^A} + Q_{\bar{\nu}^A_e} + Q_{\nu^V_\mu}, \tag{63}$$

$$Q_{\bar{\mu}^V} \neq Q_{\bar{e}^A} + Q_{\nu^A_e} + Q_{\bar{\nu}^V_\mu}, \tag{64}$$

which follow from the fact that a distinction between the truly neutral fermion and its antiparticle

$$l^A \neq \bar{l}^A, \quad \nu^A_l \neq \bar{\nu}^A_l, \quad \nu^A_M \neq \bar{\nu}^A_M \tag{65}$$

cannot take place with respect to C-operation, and is the consequence of the united CP-invariance of a C-odd particle itself.

Thus, although dileptons (51) and (52) together with conditions

$$Q_{\mu^A} \neq Q_{e^V} + Q_{\bar{\nu}^V_e} + Q_{\nu^A_\mu}, \tag{66}$$

$$Q_{\bar{\mu}^A} \neq Q_{\bar{e}^V} + Q_{\nu^V_e} + Q_{\bar{\nu}^A_\mu} \tag{67}$$

indicate that

$$\Delta T_{\mu^A} = T_{\mu^A} - T_{\nu^A_\mu} = L_{e^V} + L_{\bar{\nu}^V_e}, \tag{68}$$

$$\Delta T_{\bar{\mu}^A} = T_{\bar{\mu}^A} - T_{\bar{\nu}^T_\mu} = L_{\bar{e}^V} + L_{\nu^V_e}, \tag{69}$$

their formation in the processes

$$\mu^A_{L,R} \xrightarrow{\gamma^V,\gamma^A} e^V_{L,R}\bar{\nu}^V_{eR,L}\nu^A_{\mu L,R}, \quad \bar{\mu}^A_{R,L} \xrightarrow{\gamma^V,\gamma^A} \bar{e}^V_{R,L}\nu^V_{eL,R}\bar{\nu}^A_{\mu R,L} \tag{70}$$

is forbidden by a charge conservation law.

Basing on the above-noted contradictions one can think that both T_{l^A} and $T_{\bar{l}^A}$ in any of (28), (34) and (45) must have the opposite signs. In other words, charge nonconservation in decays (60) and (70) assumed the existence of relations among the flavours

$$T_{l^A} = -L_{l^V}, \quad T_{\bar{l}^A} = -L_{\bar{l}^V}, \tag{71}$$

$$T_{\nu_l^A} = -L_{\nu_l^V}, \quad T_{\bar{\nu}_l^A} = -L_{\bar{\nu}_l^V}. \tag{72}$$

$$T_{\nu_M^A} = -L_{\nu_l^V}, \quad T_{\bar{\nu}_M^A} = -L_{\bar{\nu}_l^V}. \tag{73}$$

From such a point of view, each of (61) and (62) can be leaded to his truly physical form

$$\Delta L_{\mu^V} \neq T_{e^A} + T_{\bar{\nu}_e^A}, \quad \Delta L_{\mu^V} = +const, \quad T_{e^A} + T_{\bar{\nu}_e^A} = -const, \tag{74}$$

$$\Delta L_{\bar{\mu}^V} \neq T_{\bar{e}^A} + T_{\nu_e^A}, \quad \Delta L_{\bar{\mu}^V} = -const, \quad T_{\bar{e}^A} + T_{\nu_e^A} = +const, \tag{75}$$

and equations (68) and (69) in this case are replaced by the inequalities

$$\Delta T_{\mu^A} \neq L_{e^V} + L_{\bar{\nu}_e^V}, \quad \Delta T_{\mu^A} = -const, \quad L_{e^V} + L_{\bar{\nu}_e^V} = +const, \tag{76}$$

$$\Delta T_{\bar{\mu}^A} \neq L_{\bar{e}^V} + L_{\nu_e^V}, \quad \Delta T_{\bar{\mu}^A} = +const, \quad L_{\bar{e}^V} + L_{\nu_e^V} = -const. \tag{77}$$

Choices of types (71)-(73) establish in addition a flavour symmetrical picture of elastic scattering, in which the absence of parity nonconservation connected with (27), (33) and (44) is explained by the availability of fundamentally important differences in the charges as well as in the masses [7] of vector and axial-vector fermions.

These consequences of flavour symmetry just and give the possibility to understand the hypothesis about unidenticality of fermions of the vector and axial-vector nature

$$l^A \neq l^V, \quad \bar{l}^A \neq \bar{l}^V, \tag{78}$$

$$\nu_l^A \neq \nu_l^V, \quad \bar{\nu}_l^A \neq \bar{\nu}_l^V, \tag{79}$$

$$\nu_M^A \neq \nu_l^V, \quad \bar{\nu}_M^A \neq \bar{\nu}_l^V. \tag{80}$$

So, it is seen that a true number distinguishing a C-odd particle from his antiparticle is not of those lepton numbers, an introduction of which can be based on the unidenticality of neutrino and antineutrino of vector currents from the point of view of C-invariance of such fermions. At the same time, to any of both types of quantum numbers corresponds a kind of family. The similar connections are not lost even at the interaction of the most diverse currents of fermions of a different C-parity with the same field of emission. This reflects the availability of a unified regularity of their family structure depending on flavour dynamics of particles.

Thus, our presentations about the nature of truly neutral neutrinos allow to formulate the laws of a corresponding mechanism responsible for separation of axial-vector fermions among the other electroweakly and strongly interacted particles. They state that each of families (13), (14), (19) and (20) possesses his true flavour. We can, therefore, characterize any particle by the three ($l^A = e^A$, μ^A, τ^A) true flavours:

$$T_{l^A} = \begin{cases} -1 & for \quad l^A_L, \;\; l^A_R, \;\; \nu^A_{lL}, \;\; \nu^A_{lR}, \;\; \nu^A_{ML}, \;\; \nu^A_{MR}, \\ +1 & for \quad \bar{l}^A_R, \;\; \bar{l}^A_L, \;\; \bar{\nu}^A_{lR}, \;\; \bar{\nu}^A_{lL}, \;\; \bar{\nu}^A_{MR}, \;\; \bar{\nu}^A_{ML}, \\ \;\;0 & for \quad remaining \;\; particles. \end{cases} \tag{81}$$

Conservation of full true number

$$T_{e^A} + T_{\mu^A} + T_{\tau^A} = const \tag{82}$$

or all types of true flavours

$$T_{l^A} = const \tag{83}$$

indicates to a principle that between the two left (right)-handed fermions from the families (13), (14), (19) and (20) there exists a unified force. It has an important consequence for the above-noted difermions of A_l currents.

Of course, thear appearance in the field of emission is compatible with the ideas of each of C and P as well as with the equality of summed charge of the interacted particles before and after the exchange by a virtual gauge boson. Such a principle is the unified and does not depend of whether the parafermions have a vector or an axial-vector nature. Then it is possible, for example, to interpret the P-symmetry as a conservation law not only of flavour [1] but also of any type of the electric charge.

There exists a range of the structural phenomena, in which the dynamical properties of P-parity become fully crucial. An example of this may be a decay of τ-lepton of a vector nature

$$\tau^V_{L,R} \xrightarrow{\gamma^V} e^V_{L,R}\bar{\nu}^V_{eR,L}\nu^V_{\tau L,R}, \quad \bar{\tau}^V_{R,L} \xrightarrow{\gamma^V} \bar{e}^V_{R,L}\nu^V_{eL,R}\bar{\nu}^V_{\tau R,L}. \tag{84}$$

As well as in (46), each of difermions (51) and (52) arises here at the conservation simultaneously of both lepton number

$$\Delta L_{\tau^V} = L_{\tau^V} - L_{\nu^V_\tau} = L_{e^V} + L_{\bar{\nu}^V_e}, \tag{85}$$

$$\Delta L_{\bar{\tau}^V} = L_{\bar{\tau}^V} - L_{\bar{\nu}^V_\tau} = L_{\bar{e}^V} + L_{\nu^V_e}, \tag{86}$$

and vector C-even electric charge

$$Q_{\tau^V} = Q_{e^V} + Q_{\bar{\nu}^V_e} + Q_{\nu^V_\tau}, \tag{87}$$

$$Q_{\bar{\tau}^V} = Q_{\bar{e}^V} + Q_{\nu_e^V} + Q_{\bar{\nu}_\tau^V}. \tag{88}$$

The solutions (85)-(88) coincide with the corresponding sizes from (47)-(50) and that, consequently, the connections

$$L_{e^V} = L_{\mu^V} = L_{\tau^V}, \quad L_{\bar{e}^V} = L_{\bar{\mu}^V} = L_{\bar{\tau}^V}, \tag{89}$$

$$L_{\nu_e^V} = L_{\nu_\mu^V} = L_{\nu_\tau^V}, \quad L_{\bar{\nu}_e^V} = L_{\bar{\nu}_\mu^V} = L_{\bar{\nu}_\tau^V} \tag{90}$$

say about universality of a vector interaction

$$Q_{e^V} = Q_{\mu^V} = Q_{\tau^V}, \quad Q_{\bar{e}^V} = Q_{\bar{\mu}^V} = Q_{\bar{\tau}^V}, \tag{91}$$

$$Q_{\nu_e^V} = Q_{\nu_\mu^V} = Q_{\nu_\tau^V}, \quad Q_{\bar{\nu}_e^V} = Q_{\bar{\nu}_\mu^V} = Q_{\bar{\nu}_\tau^V}. \tag{92}$$

For completeness we remark that a formation of any of dileptons (54) and (55) in decays of τ^A-lepton

$$\tau^A_{L,R} \xrightarrow{\gamma^A} e^A_{L,R}\bar{\nu}^A_{eR,L}\nu^A_{\tau L,R}, \quad \bar{\tau}^A_{R,L} \xrightarrow{\gamma^A} \bar{e}^A_{R,L}\nu^A_{eL,R}\bar{\nu}^A_{\tau R,L} \tag{93}$$

is not forbidden by a selection rule

$$\Delta T_{\tau^A} = T_{\tau^A} - T_{\nu_\tau^A} = T_{e^A} + T_{\bar{\nu}_e^A}, \tag{94}$$

$$\Delta T_{\bar{\tau}^A} = T_{\bar{\tau}^A} - T_{\bar{\nu}_\tau^A} = T_{\bar{e}^A} + T_{\nu_e^A} \tag{95}$$

and by a conservation law of an axial-vector C-odd electric charge

$$Q_{\tau^A} = Q_{e^A} + Q_{\bar{\nu}_e^A} + Q_{\nu_\tau^A}, \tag{96}$$

$$Q_{\bar{\tau}^A} = Q_{\bar{e}^A} + Q_{\nu_e^A} + Q_{\bar{\nu}_\tau^A}. \tag{97}$$

Their comparison with (56)-(59) leads us once again to an implication that

$$T_{e^A} = T_{\mu^A} = T_{\tau^A}, \quad T_{\bar{e}^A} = T_{\bar{\mu}^A} = T_{\bar{\tau}^A}, \tag{98}$$

$$T_{\nu_e^A} = T_{\nu_\mu^A} = T_{\nu_\tau^A}, \quad T_{\bar{\nu}_e^A} = T_{\bar{\nu}_\mu^A} = T_{\bar{\nu}_\tau^A}, \tag{99}$$

the existence of which can also be based simply on the universality of an axial-vector interaction

$$Q_{e^A} = Q_{\mu^A} = Q_{\tau^A}, \quad Q_{\bar{e}^A} = Q_{\bar{\mu}^A} = Q_{\bar{\tau}^A}, \tag{100}$$

$$Q_{\nu_e^A} = Q_{\nu_\mu^A} = Q_{\nu_\tau^A}, \quad Q_{\bar{\nu}_e^A} = Q_{\bar{\nu}_\mu^A} = Q_{\bar{\nu}_\tau^A}. \tag{101}$$

So, we can conclude that each type of flavour symmetry expresses the universality of a kind of the interaction as one of dynamical aspects of its P-symmetricality.

At the same time, it is known that any of currents responsible for interactions of leptons and hadrons with virtual gauge boson can be expressed in the form of a sum of vector and axial-vector parts of the same charge or dipole moment. This does not imply of course that the same neutron or proton must be simultaneously both a C-even and a C-odd nucleon. We have, thus, a full analogy to the fact that a classification of elementary particles with respect to C-operation admits the existence of the two types of Dirac fermions of the vector (V) and axial-vector (A) nature. If such objects are the neutrons and protons, they will constitute the nucleonic ($N = n$, p) families of the isotopic doublets of a different C-invariance.

One group consisting of C-even nucleons of vector V_N currents symbolically may present as

$$\begin{pmatrix} n^V \\ p^V \end{pmatrix}_L, (n^V, \quad p^V)_R, \tag{102}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{n}^V \\ \overline{p}^V \end{pmatrix}_R, (\overline{n}^V, \quad \overline{p}^V)_L. \tag{103}$$

The second class of doublets includes the truly neutral C-odd nucleons of axial-vector A_N currents

$$\begin{pmatrix} n^A \\ p^A \end{pmatrix}_L, (n^A, \quad p^A)_R, \tag{104}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{n}^A \\ \overline{p}^A \end{pmatrix}_R, (\overline{n}^A, \quad \overline{p}^A)_L. \tag{105}$$

For elucidation of their ideas, it is desirable to apply at first to the processes

$$n^V_{L,R} \xrightarrow{\gamma^V} p^V_{L,R}\overline{e}^V_{R,L}\nu^V_{eL,R}, \quad \overline{n}^V_{R,L} \xrightarrow{\gamma^V} \overline{p}^V_{R,L}e^V_{L,R}\bar{\nu}^V_{eR,L}, \tag{106}$$

from which it follows that vector neutron and neutrino possess the same CP-odd electric charge

$$Q_{n^V} = Q_{\nu_e^V}, \quad Q_{\overline{n}^V} = Q_{\bar{\nu}_e^V}. \tag{107}$$

Exactly the same one can reanalyze the decays

$$n^A_{L,R} \xrightarrow{\gamma^A} p^A_{L,R}\overline{e}^A_{R,L}\nu^A_{eL,R}, \quad \overline{n}^A_{R,L} \xrightarrow{\gamma^A} \overline{p}^A_{R,L}e^A_{L,R}\bar{\nu}^A_{eR,L} \tag{108}$$

in conformity with baryon [12] and true number conservation. In this case, it is expected that truly neutral neutron and neutrino distinguish from one another by the availability in them of a different CP-even electric charge

$$Q_{n^A} = Q_{p^A} + Q_{\overline{e}^A} + Q_{\nu_e^A}, \tag{109}$$

$$Q_{\overline{n}^A} = Q_{\overline{p}^A} + Q_{e^A} + Q_{\bar{\nu}_e^A}. \tag{110}$$

However, as we have seen above, in any process of β-decay originates the birth of a dilepton by the same reason. Such a reason can, for example, be an existence in all leptonic families of a unified flavour symmetrical force between the two left (right)-handed fermions of each type. It establishes the connections, at which there exist the left (right)-handed leptons in difermions comparatively for a long time without converting into the right (left)-handed ones, although this is not forbidden. In other words, a flavour symmetrical force relates the two left (right)-handed leptons in flavourless dileptons. They are conserved in the form of leptonic strings until an external action is able to separate their by a part in the particle type dependence. Therefore, to understand the earlier known experimental facts at the fundamental dynamical level, one must use a principle that a single or a double β-decay carries out in nuclei without neutrinos as well as without electrons by schemes

$$n_{L,R} \rightarrow p_{L,R} + (\overline{e}_{R,L}, \nu_{eL,R}), \tag{111}$$

$$\overline{n}_{R,L} \rightarrow \overline{p}_{R,L} + (e_{L,R}, \bar{\nu}_{eR,L}), \tag{112}$$

$$2n_{L,R} \rightarrow 2p_{L,R} + 2(\overline{e}_{R,L}, \nu_{eL,R}), \tag{113}$$

$$2\overline{n}_{R,L} \rightarrow 2\overline{p}_{R,L} + 2(e_{L,R}, \bar{\nu}_{eR,L}). \tag{114}$$

In direct experiments left (right)-handed dileptons are observed instead of electrons. In addition, it is necessary to take into account the transitions

$$(e_{L,R}, \bar{\nu}_{eR,L}) \leftrightarrow (e_{R,L}, \bar{\nu}_{eL,R}), \tag{115}$$

$$(\overline{e}_{R,L}, \nu_{eL,R}) \leftrightarrow (\overline{e}_{L,R}, \nu_{eR,L}), \tag{116}$$

because they correspond in nuclei to the interconversions of nucleons of the different components.

Thus, all properties of electrons fixed in single or double β-decay one must consider as the characteristic features which refer doubtless only to a leptonic string united the electron and its antineutrino. Of course, any of them can also be observed in the form of a free particle. This, however, requires the creation devices of a sufficiently high sensitivity.

References

[1] R.S. Sharafiddinov, physics/0702233.

[2] E. Majorana, Nuovo Cimento **14** (1937) 171.

[3] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Phys. Essays **19** (2006) 58; hep-ph/0407262.

[4] R.S. Sharafiddinov, in Proc. Aprel Meeting of the American Physical Society, Dallax, Texas, April 22-25, 2006, H12.00009; hep-ph/0511065.

[5] Ya.B. Zel'dovich, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **91** (1953) 1317.

[6] E.J. Konopinsky and H. Mahmoud, Phys. Rev. **92** (1953) 1045.

[7] R.S. Sharafiddinov, Fizika **B 16** (2007) 1; hep-ph/0512346.

[8] L.M. Slad', Yad. Fiz. **27** (1978) 1417.

[9] R.B. Begzhanov and R.S. Sharafiddinov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 15 (2000) 557.

[10] R.S. Sharafiddinov, in Proc. of the 2rd Eurasian Conf. on Nuclear Science and Its Application, Almaty, September 16-19, 2002 (Almaty, Kazakhstan, 2002), Abstracts, p. 146.

[11] W.-M. Yao et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. **G 33** (2006) 1.

[12] Ya.B. Zel'dovich, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **86** (1952) 505.